

Integrability of contact Hamiltonian systems

A Liouville–Arnold theorem for contact dynamics

Asier López-Gordón

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (ICMAT-CSIC), Madrid

Joint work with Leonardo Colombo, Manuel de León and Manuel Lainz

VI Congreso de Jóvenes Investigadores de la
Real Sociedad Matemática Española
Escuela de Ingenierías, Universidad de León
February 6, 2023



Financially supported by Grants CEX2019-000904-S and
PID2019-106715GB-C21 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033



Outline of the presentation

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main theorem
- 3 Exact symplectic manifolds
- 4 Symplectization
- 5 Proof
- 6 Final comments

Theorem (Liouville–Arnold theorem)

Let f_1, \dots, f_n be independent functions in involution (i.e., $\{f_i, f_j\} = 0 \forall i, j$) on a symplectic manifold (M^{2n}, ω) . Let $M_\Lambda = \{x \in M \mid f_i = \Lambda_i\}$.

- 1 Any compact connected component of M_Λ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n .
- 2 On a neighborhood of M_Λ there are coordinates (φ^i, J_i) such that

$$\omega = d\varphi^i \wedge dJ_i,$$

and the Hamiltonian dynamics are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\varphi^i}{dt} &= \Omega^i, \\ \frac{dJ_i}{dt} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants Ω^i .

Contact geometry

Definition

A (co-oriented) **contact manifold** is a pair (M, η) , where M is an $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold and η is a 1-form on M such that $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n$ is a volume form.

- The contact form η defines an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \flat: \mathfrak{X}(M) &\rightarrow \Omega^1(M) \\ X &\mapsto \iota_X d\eta + \eta(X)\eta, \end{aligned}$$

- There exists a unique vector field \mathcal{R} on (M, η) , called the **Reeb vector field**, such that $\flat(\mathcal{R}) = \eta$, that is,

$$\iota_{\mathcal{R}} d\eta = 0, \quad \iota_{\mathcal{R}} \eta = 1.$$

Contact geometry

- The **Hamiltonian vector field** of $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is given by

$$\flat(X_f) = df - (\mathcal{R}(f) + f)\eta,$$

- The **Jacobi bracket** is given by

$$\{f, g\} = -d\eta(\flat^{-1}df, \flat^{-1}dg) - f\mathcal{R}(g) + g\mathcal{R}(f).$$

- This bracket is bilinear and satisfies the Jacobi identity.
- However, unlike a Poisson bracket, it does not satisfy the Leibnitz identity:

$$\{f, gh\} \neq \{f, g\}h + \{f, h\}g.$$

Dissipated quantities

- In contact Hamiltonian dynamics dissipated quantities are akin to conserved quantities in symplectic dynamics.

Definition

An H -**dissipated quantity** is a function f on M such that

$$X_H(f) = -\mathcal{R}(H)f.$$

- A function f is H -dissipated iff

$$\{f, H\} = 0.$$

- Noether's theorem: symmetries \leftrightarrow dissipated quantities.

- Let $M_{\langle\Lambda\rangle_+} = \{x \in M \mid \exists r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : f_\alpha(x) = r\Lambda_\alpha\}$.

Theorem (Colombo, de León, Lainz, L.-G., 2023)

Let (M, η) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional contact manifold. Suppose that f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n are functions in involution such that (df_α) has rank at least n . Then, there is a neighborhood U of $M_{\langle\Lambda\rangle_+}$ such that

- 1 $M_{\langle\Lambda\rangle_+}$ is invariant by the Hamiltonian flow of f_α and diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1-k}$.
- 2 There exists coordinates $(y^0, \dots, y^n, \tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_n)$ on U such that the equations of motion are given by

$$\dot{y}^\alpha = \Omega^\alpha, \quad \dot{\tilde{A}}_i = 0.$$

- 3 There exists a conformal transformation $\tilde{\eta} = \eta/A_0$ such that (y^i, \tilde{A}_i, y^0) are Darboux coordinates for $(M, \tilde{\eta})$.

Steps of the proof

- 1 Symplectize (M, η) and f_α , obtaining an exact symplectic manifold (M^Σ, θ) and homogeneous functions in involution f_α^Σ .
- 2 Prove a Liouville–Arnold theorem for exact symplectic manifolds with homogeneous functions in involution.
- 3 Un-symplectize the action-angle coordinates $(y_\Sigma^\alpha, A_\Sigma^\alpha)$ on M^Σ , yielding functions (y^α, A_Σ) on M .
- 4 Introduce action-angle coordinates (y^α, \tilde{A}_i) on M , where $\tilde{A}_i = -\frac{A_i}{A_0}$.

Exact symplectic manifolds: Liouville geometry

Definition

An **exact symplectic manifold** is a pair (M, θ) , where M is a manifold and θ a one-form on N such that $\omega = -d\theta$ is a symplectic form on M .

- The **Liouville vector field** Δ of (M, θ) is given by

$$\iota_{\Delta}\omega = -\theta.$$

- A tensor T is called **homogeneous of degree** n if $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}T = nT$.

Symplectization of contact manifolds

Definition

Let (M, η) be a contact manifold. A **symplectization** is a fibre bundle $\Sigma: M^\Sigma \rightarrow M$, where (M^Σ, θ) is an exact symplectic manifold, such that

$$\sigma \Sigma^* \eta = \theta,$$

for a function σ on M^Σ called the **conformal factor**.

Symplectization of contact manifolds

- Contact geometry \longleftrightarrow Liouville geometry
- Contact form η \longleftrightarrow symplectic potential θ
- Functions \longleftrightarrow homogeneous functions of degree 1
- Hamiltonian vector fields \longleftrightarrow Hamiltonian vector fields,
homogeneous of degree 0

Symplectization of contact manifolds

Theorem

Given a symplectization $\Sigma: (M^\Sigma, \theta) \rightarrow (M, \eta)$ with conformal factor σ , there is a bijection between functions f on M and homogeneous functions of degree 1 f^Σ on M^Σ such that

$$\Sigma_*(X_{f^\Sigma}) = X_f.$$

This bijection is given by

$$f^\Sigma = \sigma \Sigma^* f.$$

Moreover, one has

$$\{f^\Sigma, g^\Sigma\}_\theta = \{f, g\}_\eta^\Sigma.$$

Symplectization of contact manifolds

Example

$\Sigma = \pi_1: (M \times \mathbb{R}^+, \theta = r\eta) \rightarrow (M, \eta)$ is a symplectization with conformal factor $\sigma = r$, for r the global coordinate on \mathbb{R}^+ .

Liouville–Arnold theorem for exact symplectic manifolds

- We want to obtain action-angle coordinates $(\varphi_\Sigma^\alpha, J_\alpha^\Sigma)$ on (M^Σ, θ) in order to define functions $(\varphi^\alpha, J_\alpha)$ on (M, η)
- We need homogeneous objects on (M^Σ, θ) so that they have a correspondence with objects on (M, η) .
- However, the classical Liouville–Arnold theorem does not take into account the homogeneity of θ and f_α^Σ .
- Moreover, we need to consider non-compact level sets of f_α^Σ .

Liouville–Arnold theorem for exact symplectic manifolds

Theorem (Colombo, de León, Lainz, L.-G., 2023)

Let (M, θ) be an exact symplectic manifold. Suppose that the functions f_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, on M are independent, in involution and homogeneous of degree 1. Let U be an open neighborhood of M_Λ such that:

- 1 f_α have no critical points in U ,
- 2 the Hamiltonian vector fields of X_{f_α} are complete,
- 3 the submersion $(f_\alpha): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a trivial bundle over $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then, $U \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathbb{T}^m \times V$, provided with action-angle coordinates (y^α, A_α) such that

$$\theta = A_\alpha dy^\alpha, \quad \frac{dy^\alpha}{dt} = \Omega^\alpha, \quad \frac{dA_\alpha}{dt} = 0.$$

Sketch of proof

- Since X_{f_α} are n vector fields tangent to M_Λ , linearly independent and pairwise commutative, they generate the algebra \mathbb{R}^n and $M_\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^k$.
- Thus there are coordinates $y^\alpha = M_\alpha^\beta s^\beta$, where $X_{f_\alpha}(s^\beta) = \delta_\alpha^\beta$.
- The values of f_α define coordinates (J_α) on V .
- Since M_Λ is Lagrangian, $\theta = A_\alpha(J)dy^\alpha + B^\alpha(y, J)dJ_\alpha$.
- Since f_α are homogeneous of degree 1, $\theta(X_{f_\alpha}) = f_\alpha$.
- By construction, $\Delta(y^\alpha) = 0$.
- With additional contractions with θ and ω , one concludes that $\theta = A_\alpha dy^\alpha$, where $J_\beta = M_\beta^\alpha J_\alpha$.

From conditions on f_α^Σ to conditions on f_α

- In order to apply the Liouville–Arnold theorem for exact symplectic manifolds, we need to translate the conditions on f_α to conditions on f_α^Σ .
- Let $M_{\langle\Lambda\rangle+} = \{x \in M \mid \exists r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : F(x) = r\Lambda\}$.
- Let $F^\Sigma = (f_\alpha^\Sigma)$ and $\tilde{M}_\Lambda = (F^\Sigma)^{-1}(\Lambda)$.
- Given the functions $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $F = (f_\alpha)$ and

$$\hat{F} = S \circ F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n,$$

where $S : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ denotes the projection on the sphere.

From conditions on f_α^Σ to conditions on f_α

Lemma

Given $\langle \Lambda \rangle_+ \in S^n$, let $\hat{B} \subseteq S^n$ be an open neighborhood of $\langle \Lambda \rangle_+$ and let $\pi: U \rightarrow M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$ be a tubular neighborhood of $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$ such that $\hat{F}|_U: U \rightarrow \hat{B}$ is a submersion with diffeomorphic fibers. Define $B = S^{-1}(\hat{B})$ and $\tilde{U} = \Sigma^{-1}(U)$ and $\tilde{\pi} = \Sigma_{\tilde{M}_\Lambda}^{-1} \circ \pi \circ \Sigma$. Then, $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_\Lambda$ is a tubular neighborhood of \tilde{M}_Λ such that $F|_{\tilde{U}}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow B$ is a submersion with diffeomorphic fibers.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{U} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & U \\
 \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\
 \tilde{M}_\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\Sigma|_{\tilde{M}_\Lambda}} & M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B & \xrightarrow{S} & \hat{B}
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (Colombo, de León, Lainz, L.-G., 2023)

Let (M, η) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional contact manifold. Suppose that f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n are functions in involution such that (df_α) has rank at least n . Assume that the Hamiltonian vector fields X_{f_α} are complete. Given $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, let $\hat{B} \subseteq S^n$ be an open neighborhood of $\langle \Lambda \rangle_+$ and let $\pi: U \rightarrow M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$ be a tubular neighborhood of $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$ such that $\hat{F}|_U: U \rightarrow \hat{B}$ is a submersion with diffeomorphic fibers. Then

- 1 $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$ is invariant by the Hamiltonian flow of f_α and diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1-k}$.
- 2 There exists coordinates $(y^0, \dots, y^n, \tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_n)$ on U such that the equations of motion are given by

$$\dot{y}^\alpha = \Omega^\alpha, \quad \dot{\tilde{A}}_i = 0.$$

- 3 There exists a conformal transformation $\tilde{\eta} = \eta/A_0$ such that (y^i, \tilde{A}_i, y^0) are Darboux coordinates for $(M, \tilde{\eta})$.

Sketch of the proof

- 1 Symplectize (M, η) and f_α , in order to apply the Liouville–Arnold theorem for exact symplectic manifolds

 - $\{f_\alpha, f_\beta\} = 0 \Rightarrow \{f_\alpha^\Sigma, f_\beta^\Sigma\} = 0$.
 - X_{f_α} complete $\Rightarrow X_{f_\alpha^\Sigma}$ complete.
 - $\text{rank } df_\alpha \geq n \Rightarrow \text{rank } d(\underbrace{\sigma \Sigma^* f_\alpha}_{f_\alpha^\Sigma}) \geq n + 1$.
 - $\Sigma((F^\Sigma)^{-1}(\Lambda)) = \{x \in M \mid \exists s \in \mathbb{R}^+ : F(x) = \frac{\Lambda}{s}\} = M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$.
 - X_{f_α} commute and are tangent to $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+} \Rightarrow M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+} \simeq \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1-k}$.
- 2 Un-symplectize the action-angle coordinates $(y_\Sigma^\alpha, A_\alpha^\Sigma)$ on \tilde{U} , yielding functions (y^α, A_α) on U .
- 3 Introduce action-angle coordinates (y^α, \tilde{A}_i) on U

 - Since $\Lambda \neq 0$, $\exists A_\alpha \neq 0$. W.l.o.g., assume $A_0 \neq 0$.
 - Then $(y^\alpha, \tilde{A}_i = -\frac{A_i}{A_0})$ are coordinates on U .

Sketch of the proof

- By construction, y^α are linear combinations of flows of X_{f_α} , namely,

$$X_{f_\alpha} = M_\beta^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial s^\beta}.$$

- Therefore, the dynamics are given by

$$\frac{dy^\alpha}{dt} = \Omega^\alpha, \quad \frac{d\tilde{A}_i}{dt} = 0.$$

- $\theta^\Sigma = A_\alpha^\Sigma dy^\alpha \rightsquigarrow \eta = A_\alpha dy^\alpha$, so

$$\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{A_0} \eta = dy^0 - \tilde{A}_i dy^i.$$

Other notions of integrability

- Khesin and Tabachnikov, Liberman, Banyaga and Molino, Lerman, etc. have defined notions of contact complete integrability which are geometric but not dynamical, e.g. a certain foliation over a contact manifold.
- Boyer considers the so-called good Hamiltonians H , i.e., $\mathcal{R}(H) = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ no dissipated quantities, “symplectic” dynamics.
- We are interested in complete integrability of contact Hamiltonian dynamics.

- [1] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Graduate Texts in Mathematics). Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [2] M. Audin, *Torus Actions on Symplectic Manifolds*. Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [3] A. Banyaga, “The geometry surrounding the Arnold-Liouville theorem,” in *Advances in Geometry*, ser. Progr. Math. Vol. 172, Birkhäuser Boston, 1999, pp. 53–69.
- [4] L. Colombo, M. de León, M. Lainz, and A. López-Gordón, *Liouville–Arnold theorem for contact manifolds*, to appear on arXiv.
- [5] E. Fiorani, G. Giachetta, and G. Sardanashvily, “An extension of the Liouville–Arnold theorem for the non-compact case,” *Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. B*, 2003.
- [6] E. Miranda and A. Planas, *Action-angle coordinates and KAM theory for singular symplectic manifolds*, Dec. 31, 2022. arXiv: 2301.00266.

¡Gracias por vuestra atención!

✉ asier.lopez@icmat.es

🌐 www.alopezgordon.xyz